Lecture 1: Introduction, soft observables

> Brian. A Cole, Columbia University July 22, 2013

Caveat emptor:

- I am a member of both PHENIX and ATLAS collaborations.
- I make no pretension that my coverage will be complete, but I will try to be balanced.

Pb+Pb collision in ATLAS



The Big Picture

 We know that strong interactions are well described by the QCD Lagrangian:

 $L_{QCD} = -rac{1}{4}F^a_{\mu
u}F^{\mu
u}_a - \sum_nar{\psi}_n\left(\partial \!\!\!/ - ig\gamma^\mu A^a_\mu t_a - m_n
ight)\psi_n$

⇒Perturbative limit well studied

- Nuclear collisions provide a laboratory for studying QCD outside the large Q² regime:
 - Deconfined matter (quark gluon plasma)
 - ⇒"Emergent" physics not manifest in LQCD
 - \Rightarrow Strong coupling \Rightarrow AdS/QCD (?)
 - High gluon field strength, saturation
 Unitarity in fundamental field theory

• QCD is the only non-Abelian FT whose thermal & multi-particle behavior we can study in lab.

Heavy ion "concordance model"







Initial gluon emissionHydrodynamicfrom saturated nucleiEvolutionRapidHadronizationThermalizationHadronization

- Initial particle production from strong gluon fields (saturated) in the incident nuclei.
- Created particles rapidly (τ < 0.5-1 fm/c!) thermalize into a strongly coupled QGP.
- QGP evolves hydrodynamically with an η/s ratio close to conjectured lower bound.

QCD Thermodynamics on Lattice

Energy Density or pressure

QCD trace anomaly



Cross-over transition from hadron gas to quark gluon plasma at T ~ 170-190 MeV

 RHIC data: overwhelming evidence for QGP creation
 ⇒For conditions at RHIC, QGP is strongly coupled

 As suggested by QCD trace anomaly (ε - 3p)/T⁴

 "interaction measure" (what kind?)

Viscosity in Hydrodynamics

Shear viscosity -measures the resistance to flow



the ability of momentum transfer



Bulk viscosity –measure the resistance to expansion -volume viscosity Determines the dynamics of compressible fluid

Viscosity naturally scales with the density of particles (entropy density, s) in the system

<u>Strong coupling, η/s</u>

Csernai, Kapusta, and McLerran and KSS

Arnold, Moore, and Yaffe



 Asymptotic freedom => QGP is weakly coupled at very high temperatures (how high?)

 But data from RHIC and LHC (shown below) indicate that QGP at 1-2 T_c is strongly coupled

Big questions

- Why (how) is the QGP strongly coupled?
- How are the dynamics in the QGP changing with increasing T?
 - Weaker coupling? Or "simply" approaching conformal limit?
- (How) does the answer depend on ω?
- Are there particle-like (quasi-particle) modes in the QGP near T_c?
 - if so what is their nature?
- Answer by studying QGP on soft and hard momentum scales





Lecture schedule

Monday

Basics, Soft physics
 ⇒Particle multiplicities

 \Rightarrow Elliptic flow

Tuesday

-Soft physics (finish)

- ⇒Higher order flow
- ⇒event-by-event flow
- -Energy scan and critical point search (brief)
- -p+A measurements @ LHC

⇒"Ridges"

Lecture schedule (2)

Wednesday

−High-p⊤ physics
⇒RHIC single, di-hadron suppression
⇒LHC reference boson measurements
⇒LHC jet quenching
⇒Heavy flavor suppression
–Quarkonium suppression

Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider



Most versatile collider ever operated

 Collisions between many different ions
 At center of mass energies from 7 to 200 GeV

RHIC experiments (current)

PHENIX

STAR



•STAR:

-TPC-based, with extensive particle identification

• PHENIX

-Multi-faceted detector w/ high rate capabilities

Large Hadron Collider



 In addition to high-energy physics: –p-p, Pb+Pb @ 2.76 TeV, p+Pb @ 5.02 TeV

LHC experiments

ALICE





CMS

• ALICE:

 TPC based w/ silicon inner tracking, particle identification, forward µ

• ATLAS, CMS

 Traditional particle physics experiments



STAR and ALICE



 STAR and ALICE measure 100's or 1000's of particles with many samples along particle trajectories (TPC)

ATLAS and CMS



ATLAS and CMS track 1000's of particles using high-granularity silicon pixel and silicon strip detectors

PHENIX



 PHENIX tracks 100's of particles using drift and pad wire chambers

Kinematics

 For studying ultra-relativistic heavy ion collisions, prefer to use boost-invariant (in beam direction) distributions:

-Transverse momentum: $p_T = p \sin \theta$

 \Rightarrow Sometimes when using calorimeters we have E instead of p, so use $E_T = E \sin \theta$

-Rapidity: $y = \tanh^{-1}(\beta_z) = \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{E+p_z}{E-p_z}\right)$

 \Rightarrow Rapidity adds under LT: $y' = y + y_B$

• Since rapidity depends on particle energy, need particle identification (m) –But if p >> m, neglect mass, $\Rightarrow y \rightarrow \eta = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+\cos \theta}{1-\cos \theta} \right) = -\ln (\tan \theta/2)$

⇒pseudorapidity

Pseudorapidity



 Pseudorapidity of a particle can be easily measured since it only requires the angle.

ATLAS Acceptance





Bulk observables γ, π^0 , isolated γ J/ ψ, ψ', Y (1S, 2S, ...

20

Nucleus-Nucleus collision geometry

Pb+Pb "Bulk" dynamics controlled by classical impact parameter (b)

b





Cannot measure impact parameter directly

 But, particle or energy emission indirectly measures geometry

⇒Energy in emitted particles increases monotonically with b

Pb+Pb (transverse) energy measurement



"Centrality"

Characterize collision "centrality" by E_T in forward calorimeters



Glauber Monte Carlo model



 Simple Monte Carlo model for characterizing nuclear collision geometry:

Distribute nucleons according to Wood Saxon p(r)

-Nucleons that pass each other within distance $r_{\perp} < \sqrt{\sigma_{NN}/\pi}$ scatter or collide (participate)

 Calculate number of scatterings and number of participants.

Glauber Monte Carlo

Glauber MC for Pb+Pb collisions @ LHC



Glauber MC N_{part} distributions for different collisions @ RHIC, LHC



Glauber "Bootstrap"



 Use similarity between (e.g.) ATLAS FCal ΣE_T distribution and N_{part} distribution to infer a relationship

In fact, use "two-component" model

$$\Sigma E_T^{Pb-Pb} = \Sigma E_T^{p-p} \left(x rac{N_{part}}{2} + (1-x) N_{coll}
ight)$$

-Can reproduce Pb+Pb data with x ~ 0.1

Background: p+A collisions

•Why should the number of participants be the primary variable, not the number of collisions?

– Known for ~ 3 decades from p+A measurements

Multiplicity of produced particles increases proportional to number of participants

De Marzo *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D29 (1984) 2476-2482



FIG. 4. The ratio $R = \langle n \rangle_{pA} / \langle n \rangle_{pp}$ versus the average number $\overline{v}(n_p)$ of projectile collisions for pXe (circles), pAr (triangles), and pNe (squares) collisions. A line of the form $R = 0.5[\overline{v}(n_p) + 1]$ is shown for comparison.

Particle multiplicities, dN/dŋ

RHIC: charged particle multiplicities

PHOBOS, Phys.Rev. C70 (2004) 021902



 Au+Au charged particle dN/dη and centrality dependence

- -With two-component fit, HIJING, and saturation model comparison
 - Strongest variation for peripheral collisions 29

LHC: charged particle multiplicities

 Rapid increase in particle multiplicity with nucleon-nucleon center of mass energy above 0.2-1 TeV

LHC: charged particle multiplicities

 Good agreement between 3 LHC experiments and between RHIC & LHC ⇒After rescaling by factor of 2.15

LHC: charged particle multiplicities

 Comparison of ALICE dn/dη to various theoretical/model calculations
 ⇒Best described by saturation models?!

Multiplicity, IP-Glasma

 Comparison between RHIC, LHC data and IP-Glasma calculation by Schenke et al

Au+Au Longitudinal Scaling

 Measurements over wide range of energies show "limiting fragmentation"

agree when measured relative to beam rapidity
 ⇒over restricted range of η'

ALICE Pb+Pb dn/dη

Using ALICE forward multiplicity detector

 η range large enough to match onto RHIC in η'
 Observe breaking of limiting fragmentation for η'< -2.5