# II: Symmetry and Weak Interactions

Barry R. Holstein UMass Amherst

## Lessons from yesterday:

- i) Symmetry is omnipresent in physics though usually broken in one of three ways: explicit, spontaneous, anomalous
- ii) Symmetry combined with effective field theory is a powerful tool

Today look at specific examples, but first big picture: Consider weak interaction

$$\mathcal{H}_w \sim \frac{g^2}{M_W^2 - q^2} J^\mu J_\mu^\dagger \stackrel{q^2 << M_W^2}{\longrightarrow} \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} J^\mu J_\mu^\dagger$$

where  $G\simeq 10^{-5}/m_p^2$ . Hence can infer size of  $M_W$  via  $M_W\sim 1/\sqrt{G}\sim 300GeV$  by LOW energy experiments.

Now consider possible BSM weak effects such as possible scalar interaction

$$H_S \sim \frac{g_S^2}{M_S^2 - q^2} J J^{\dagger} \stackrel{q^2 << M_S^2}{\longrightarrow} \frac{K}{\sqrt{2}} J J^{\dagger}$$

If can experimentally limit K<0.01G then conclude that  $M_S\geq 10M_W$ . Hence LOW energy limits shed light on HIGH energy physics.

Weak beta decay interactions described by standard model:

$$\mathcal{H}_{w}^{semileptonic} \simeq \frac{g_{w}^{2}}{8M_{W}^{2}} V_{ud} \bar{u} \gamma_{\alpha} (1 - \gamma_{5}) d\bar{e} \gamma^{\alpha} (1 - \gamma_{5}) \nu_{e}$$

Here  $\frac{g_w^2}{8M_W^2}\equiv G_F/\sqrt{2}$  where  $G_F\simeq 10^{-5}~{\rm GeV^{-2}}$  is Fermi constant.

Universality:  $\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}(1-\gamma_5)\nu_e \rightarrow$ 

$$\bar{e}\gamma^{\mu}(1-\gamma_5)\nu_e + \bar{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}(1-\gamma_5)\nu_{\mu} + \bar{\tau}\gamma^{\mu}(1-\gamma_5)\nu_{\tau}$$

How to measure  $G_F$ —Muon Decay. Universality gives

$$\mathcal{H}_w^{leptonic} \simeq G_F/\sqrt{2}\bar{\nu}_{\mu}\gamma_{\alpha}(1-\gamma_5)\mu\bar{e}\gamma^{\alpha}(1-\gamma_5)\nu_e$$

and leads to

$$\Gamma_{\mu} = \frac{G_F^2 m_{\mu}^5}{192\pi^3}$$

Inclusion of radiative and electron mass corrections yields

$$G_F = (1.16639 \pm 0.00001) \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$$

How to check universality— $\pi \to e \nu_e/\pi \to \mu \nu_\mu$ . Define

$$<0|A_{\mu}^{-}|\pi_{p_{1}}^{+}> \equiv \sqrt{2}F_{\pi}p_{1\mu}$$

Then

$$\Gamma_{\pi} = \frac{G_F^2 F_{\pi}^2 V_{ud}^{2}}{256\pi m_{\pi}^3} m_{\ell}^2 (m_{\pi}^2 - m_{\ell}^2)^2$$

yields  $F_{\pi} = (92.4 \pm 0.3) \; \text{MeV}.$ 

Then

$$R_{\pi} \equiv \left(\frac{\Gamma(\pi^{+} \to e^{+}\nu_{e})}{\Gamma(\pi^{=} \to \mu^{+}\nu_{\mu})}\right)^{theo}$$
$$= \frac{m_{e}^{2}(m_{\pi}^{2} - m_{e}^{2})^{2}}{m_{\mu}^{2}(m_{\pi}^{2} - m_{\mu}^{2})^{2}} = 1.28 \times 10^{-4}$$

Small because of helicity suppression.

Electromagnetic corrections change to

$$R_{\pi}^{theo} = (1.2353 \pm 0.0001) \times 10^{-4}$$

Compare to

$$R_{\pi}^{exp} = (1.230 \pm 0.004) \times 10^{-4}$$

- i) Pure  $V_{\mu} A_{\mu}$  structure—no scalar, pseudoscalar, or tensor interactions;
- ii) Time reversal invariance;
- iii) G-parity: Defining  $G = C \exp(-i\pi I_2)$  the weak currents satisfy

$$G(V_{\mu} - A_{\mu})G^{-1} = V_{\mu} + A_{\mu}$$

This requirement is generally called *no second class currents*;

iv) CVC: The weak vector current is related to the electromagnetic current via a simple isotopic spin rotation

$$V_{\mu}^{\pm} = \mp [I_{\pm}, V_{\mu}^{em}]$$

where  $I_{\pm} = I_1 \pm iI_2$  are isospin raising/lowering lowering operators. This condition is termed the "conserved vector current" or CVC hypothesis;

v) PCAC: As we have seen, the axial current would also be conserved were this symmetry not broken spontaneously. However, due to this breaking and because the axial divergence is a pseudoscalar, it can be used as an interpolating field for the pion

$$\partial^{\mu}A_{\mu} = F_{\pi}m_{\pi}^2\phi_{\pi} + \mathcal{O}(\phi_{\pi}^3)$$

This requirement is called the "partially conserved axial vector current" or PCAC hypothesis and is closely tied in to chiral symmetry;

vi) Unitarity: The weak coupling constant  $G_F^{\beta}V_{ud}$  responsible for nuclear beta decay is identical to that in nuclear muon capture and is related to that responsible for muon decay— $G_F^{\mu}$ —via

$$G_F^{\beta} = G_F^{\mu}$$

where  $V_{ud}$  is related by unitarity to other mixing angles via

$$|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 = 1$$

vi) ....

Use "allowed" decays— $\Delta J=0,\pm 1,~\Delta\Pi=\mathrm{no}.$  Example is neutron decay

$$< p_{p'}|V_{\mu}|n_{p}>$$

$$= \bar{u}_{p}(p') \left(\gamma_{\mu}f_{1}(q^{2}) - i\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^{\nu}\frac{f_{2}(q^{2})}{2M} + q_{\mu}\frac{f_{3}(q^{2})}{2M}\right)u_{n}(p)$$

$$< p_{p'}|A_{\mu}|n_{p}>$$

$$= \bar{u}_{p}(p') \left(\gamma_{\mu}g_{1}(q^{2}) - i\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^{\nu}\frac{g_{2}(q^{2})}{2M} + q_{\mu}\frac{g_{3}(q^{2})}{2M}\right)\gamma_{5}u_{n}(p)$$

 $f_1, g_1$ : Vector, axial couplings

 $f_2, g_2$ : Weak magnetism, weak electricity couplings

 $f_3, g_3$ : Induced scalar, pseudoscalar couplings

### Consider arbitrary allowed transition—

$$J^{\pm} \rightarrow J^{\pm}, J \pm 1^{\pm}$$

to NLO in recoil— $q/m_N$ ,  $q^2R^2$ .

$$\ell^{\mu} < \beta |V_{\mu}|\alpha > = \left(a(q^{2}) \frac{P \cdot \ell}{2M} + e(q^{2}) \frac{q \cdot \ell}{2M}\right) \delta_{JJ'} \delta_{MM'}$$

$$+ i \frac{b(q^{2})}{2M} C_{J'1;J}^{M'k;M} (\vec{q} \times \vec{\ell})_{k}$$

$$+ C_{J'2;J}^{M'k;M} \left[\frac{f(q^{2})}{2M} C_{11;2}^{nn';k} \ell_{n} q_{n'}\right]$$

$$+ \frac{g(q^{2})}{(2M)^{3}} P \cdot \ell \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}} Y_{2}^{k} (\hat{q}) \vec{q}^{2} + \dots$$

$$\ell^{\mu} < \beta |A_{\mu}| \alpha >$$

$$= C_{J'1;J}^{M'k;M} \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{ij\lambda\eta} \frac{1}{4M} \left[ c(q^2) \ell^{\lambda} P^{\eta} - d(q^2) \ell^{\lambda} q^{\eta} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(2M)^2}h(q^2)q^{\lambda}P^{\eta}q \cdot \ell \bigg]$$

+ 
$$C_{J'2;J}^{M'k;M}C_{12;2}^{nn';k}\ell_n\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}}Y_2^{n'}(\hat{q})\frac{\vec{q}^2}{(2M)^2}j_2(q^2)$$

+ 
$$C_{J'3;J}^{M'k;M}C_{12;3}^{nn';k}\ell_n\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}Y_2^{n'}(\hat{q})\frac{\vec{q}^2}{(2M)^2}}j_3(q^2)+\dots$$

$$a \rightarrow f_1, \qquad c \rightarrow g_1$$
  
 $b \rightarrow f_2, \qquad d \rightarrow g_2$   
 $e \rightarrow f_3, \qquad h \rightarrow g_3$ 

No neutron analog for  $f, g, j_2, j_3$  since  $\Delta J = 2, 3$ 

Note there are impulse approximation (one-body) predictions for each form factor. In leading case

$$a(0) = f_1(0)M_F$$
 and  $c(0) = g_1(0)M_{GT}$ 

where  $f_1(0)=1$  is the neutron vector coupling and  $M_F=<\beta||\sum_n \tau_n^\pm||\alpha>$  is Fermi matrix element and  $g_1(0)=-1.275$  is the neutron axial coupling and  $M_{GT}=<\beta||\sum_n \tau_n^\pm \vec{\sigma}_n|||\alpha>$  is the Gamow-Teller matrix element. Here  $M_F$  vanishes unless  $|\alpha>,|\beta>$  are isotopic analogs such as  $^{10}C,^{10}B$  or  $^{14}O,^{14}N,$  etc. in which case  $M_F=\sqrt{2}$ .

For  $0^+ - 0^+$  transitions such as these  $M_{GT} = 0$  so if define phase space factor

$$f(Z, R, E_0) = \int_{m_e}^{E_0} dE_e F(Z, R, E_e) (E_0 - E_e)^2 E_e p_e$$

then

$$ft_{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\pi^3 \log 2}{G_F^2 V_{ud}}|^2$$

and should be same for all such transitions. Find

$$E_0({\sf KeV})$$
  $f^R{\sf t}({\sf sec})$   $10{\sf C}$  886  $3076.7\pm4.6$   $14{\sf O}$  1809  $3071.5\pm3.3$   $26{\sf Al}^m$   $3211$   $3072.4\pm1.4$   $34{\sf Cl}$  4470  $3070.6\pm2.1$   $38{\sf K}^m$  5023  $3072.5\pm2.4$   $42{\sf Sc}$  5402  $3072.4\pm2.7$   $46{\sf V}$  6029  $3073.3\pm2.7$   $50{\sf Mn}$  6610  $3070.9\pm2.8$   $54{\sf Co}$  7220  $3069.9\pm3.3$ 

Can now measure  $V_{ud}$  using  $ft_{\frac{1}{2}}^{av}=3071.8\pm0.8$  sec, yielding

$$V_{ud} = 0.97425 \pm 0.00022$$

Using  $V_{us}=0.2253\pm0.0009$  from  $K_{\ell 3}$  decay and  $V_{ub}=0.00339\pm0.00044$  from PDG unitarity test gives

$$|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 = 0.99990 \pm 0.00060$$

Another CVC test—Gell-Mann suggested use of A=12 isotriplet— $^{12}B,\,^{12}C(15.11~{
m MeV},\,1^+),\,^{12}N$ 

Define shape factor S(E) via

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dE}$$
 = Phase Space  $\cdot$   $S(E)$ 

where

Phase Space 
$$\sim F(\pm Z, E) p E(E_0 - E)^2$$

Then

$$S(E) = 1 \pm \frac{4E}{3M} \frac{b}{c}$$
 for  $e^{\mp}$  decay

#### CVC prediction for b from 15.11 MeV <sup>12</sup>C radiative width

$$b = \sqrt{\frac{6M^2 \Gamma_{M1}}{\alpha E_0^3}}$$

yields

$$\frac{b}{Ac_{\,\mathrm{nuclear}}} \sim \frac{b}{c_{\,\mathrm{nucleo}\,\mathrm{n}}} \sim 4.7$$

and

$$\frac{dS}{dE} \simeq \pm \frac{4}{3m_N} \frac{b}{Ac} \sim \pm 0.5\%/\text{MeV}$$

#### Experiment:

Exp. 
$$\%/\text{MeV Thy}$$
  $\frac{dS}{dE}^-$  Lee et al.  $0.48\pm~0.10$  0.43  $\frac{dS}{dE}^+$  Lee et al.  $-0.52\pm~0.06$  -0.50

Now look at second class currents. Suppose we have both currents such as  $\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}q$ ,  $\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}q$  which are "first class"— $GV_{\mu}^{I}G^{-1}=V_{\mu}^{I},~GA_{\mu}^{I}G^{-1}=-A_{\mu}^{I}$  and BSM "second class currents"— $GV_{\mu}^{II}G^{-1}=-V_{\mu}^{II},~GA_{\mu}^{II}G^{-1}=A_{\mu}^{II}$ .

Then

$$\exp(-i\pi I_2)J_{\mu}^{x\pm}\exp(i\pi I_2) = \epsilon_x J_{\mu}^{x\pm}$$

with

$$\epsilon_I = \mp 1 \text{ if } TJ^I_{\mu}T^{-1} = \pm J^{I\mu\dagger}$$
 $\epsilon_{II} = \pm 1 \text{ if } TJ^{II}_{\mu}T^{-1} = \pm J^{II\mu\dagger}$ 

For transitions within a common isotopic multiplet

$$< I, I_3 \pm 1; \vec{p}', J, M' | J^x_\mu | I, I_3; \vec{p}, J, M>$$
 
$$= -\epsilon_x < I, -I_3; \vec{p}, J, M | J^x_\mu | I, -I_3 \pm 1; \vec{p}', J, M'>^*$$
 so 
$$0 = a^{II}(q^2) = b^{II}(q^2) = c^{II}(q^2)$$
 
$$= g^{II}(q^2) = h^{II}(q^2) = j_3^{II}(q^2)$$

$$0 = d^{I}(q^{2}) = e^{I}(q^{2}) = f^{I}(q^{2}) = j_{2}^{I}(q^{2})$$

and no second class currents says  $d, e, f, j_2 = 0$  for analog transitions.

For transitions not within a common isotopic multiplet

$$\langle I', I_3 \pm 1; \vec{p}', J', M' | J_{\mu}^{x\pm} | I, I_3; \vec{p}, J, M \rangle$$

$$= (-)^{I-I'+1} \epsilon_x \langle I', -I_3 \pm 1; \vec{p}', J', M' | J_{\mu}^{x\mp} | I, -I_3 \pm 1; \vec{p}, J, M \rangle^*$$

Thus for first class

$$F_I(q^2; I_3 \to I_3 \pm 1) = (-)^{I-I'} F_I^*(q^2; -I_3 \to -I_3 \mp 1)$$

while for second class

$$F_{II}(q^2; I_3 \to I_3 \pm 1) = -(-)^{I-I'} F_{II}^*(q^2; -I_3 \to -I_3 \mp 1)$$

How to check? Consider A=12 system and produce alignment

$$\Lambda = 1 - \frac{3}{2} \langle J_z^2 \rangle$$

and measure

$$\frac{d^5\Gamma}{dE_e d\Omega_e} \propto 12\Lambda\delta(E) \left( \left( \frac{\vec{p}_e \cdot \hat{n}}{E_e} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{3} \frac{p_e^2}{E_e^2} \right)$$

for both  $^{12}N$ ,  $^{12}B$  decays. Since

$$\delta^{\pm}(E) = \frac{E}{2M} \left( \pm \frac{b + d^{II}}{c} + \frac{d^{I}}{c} \right)$$

find

$$\delta^{-}(E) - \delta^{+}(E) = -\frac{E}{M} \frac{b + d^{II}}{c}$$

Subtraction of CVC weak magnetism value yields  $d^{II}$ .

Has also been done for A=8 and A=20 systems using  $\beta-\alpha$  and  $\beta-\gamma$  correlations to eliminate higher order form factors. Results are

Now look at right-handed currents. Standard model based on  $SU(2)_L\otimes U(1)$ . Why not  $SU(2)_L\otimes SU(2)_R\otimes U(1)$ ? Would then be two sets of gauge bosons— $W^\pm_{L\mu}$  and  $W^\pm_{R\mu}$ —with  $M_{W_R}>>M_{W_L}$  so that  $G_{FL}>>G_{FR}=G_{FL}\frac{M_{WL}^2}{M_{WR}^2}$ . Since mass  $W_1,W_2$  and chiral  $W_L,W_R$  eigenstates need not be the same define

$$W_1 = \cos \chi W_L - \sin \chi W_R$$
$$W_2 = \sin \chi W_L - \cos \chi W_R$$

and  $\sigma = M_1^2/M_2^2$ . Then standard model is  $\sigma \chi = 0$ .

But in more general case

$$\mathcal{H}_w \sim \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \otimes \gamma^\mu (1 + \epsilon \gamma_5) \right]$$

$$+\gamma_{\mu}(1+\gamma_{5})\times(\gamma^{\mu}(x-y\epsilon\gamma_{5})]$$

with

$$x \approx \sigma - \chi, \quad y = \sigma + \chi, \quad \epsilon = \frac{1 - x}{1 - y}$$

How to detect x,y? Can compare positron helicities for Fermi vs. Gamow-Teller decays—

$$\frac{P_L^F}{P_L^{GT}} \simeq 1 - 2x^2 + 2y^2 = 1 + 8\sigma\chi$$

Experimentally

$$\frac{P_L^F}{P_L^{GT}} = 1.003 \pm 0.004$$

Compare ft and asymmetries for  $^{19}Ne$ —

$$\frac{ft^{\text{Fermi}}}{ft^{Ne}} = \frac{a^2 + c^2 + x^2a^2 + y^2c^2 + T_3}{a_F^2(1+x^2)}$$

and

$$A = \frac{\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}c(a + \frac{c}{\sqrt{3}}) - 2y\frac{c}{\sqrt{3}}(xa + y\frac{c}{\sqrt{3}}) + T_1}{a^2 + c^2 + x^2a^2 + y^2c^2 + T_2}$$

where

$$rac{d\Gamma_{eta}}{d\Omega_{e}} \sim 1 + AP\hat{J} \cdot \vec{p}_{eta}/E_{eta} + \dots$$

---

Why  $^{19}Ne$ ? Value

$$\left(\frac{c}{a}\right)^{19_{Ne}} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2ft^{\text{Fermi}}}{ft^{19_{Ne}}} - 1} \simeq -1.60$$

is very near the value  $c/a=-\sqrt{3}$  at which pure left-handed asymmetry would vanish, so very sensitive to RH effects.

Look at Muon decay spectrum

$$\frac{d^2\Gamma_{\mu}}{s^2 ds d(\cos \theta)} \sim 3 - 2s + \left(\frac{4}{3}\rho - 1\right) (4s - 3) + 12 \frac{m_e}{s m_{\mu}} (1 - s)\eta$$

$$+\xi P_{\mu}\cos\theta\left[\left(\frac{4}{3}\delta-1\right)(4s-3)+2s-1\right]$$

with  $s=E_e/E_{\rm max}$  and Michel parameters

$$\rho \simeq \frac{3}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} (x - y)^2 \right)$$
$$\xi \simeq 1 - x^2 - y^2$$
$$\delta \approx \frac{3}{4}$$
$$\eta \approx 0$$

#### Results from TRIUMF

$$\frac{\xi P_{\mu} \delta}{\rho} > 0.9959$$
 at 90% C.L.

yields

$$\frac{\xi P_\mu \delta}{\rho} \simeq 1 - 2(\sigma + \chi)^2 - 2\sigma^2$$
 and yield the constraints shown. From PSI

$$P_{\mu}\xi = 1.0027 \pm 0.0084$$

Testing PCAC—two direct predictions in weak interactions.

One is Goldberger-Treiman relation

$$\frac{1}{2}(m_p + m_n)g_A(0) = F_{\pi}g_{\pi NN}(0)$$

Here  $\frac{1}{2}(m_p+m_n)=939$  MeV,  $F_\pi=92.4$  MeV, and  $g_A(0)=1.275$  are well determined, but not so  $g_{\pi NN}(0)$ . Karlsruhe dispersive analysis gives  $g_{\pi NN}(m_\pi^2)=13.45$  but VPI gives 13.1. Basically works well to per cent level.

Second prediction is for induced pseudoscalar form factor— $g_P(q^2)$ . Expect

$$g_P(q^2) = \frac{4MF_\pi}{m_\pi^2 - q^2} g_{\pi NN}(q^2) \simeq \frac{4MF_\pi}{m_\pi^2 - q^2} g_{\pi NN}(q^2) - \frac{2M^2}{3} g_A(0) r_A^2$$

Use combination

$$r_P = \frac{m_\mu}{2Mg_A(0)}g_P(q^2 = -0.9m_\mu^2) = 6.7$$

relevant for muon capture. Need muon capture since in beta decay effects are  $\mathcal{O}(r_P m_e^2/(2Mm_\mu)) \sim 10^{-5}$  but in muon capture  $\mathcal{O}(r_P m_\mu/2M) \sim 0.35$ .

However, capture rate is one number, so must assume CVC, no second class currents,  $q^2$ -dependence of form factors is known. Then can determine  $r_P$ . Current results are

$$r_P = \begin{cases} 7.3 \pm 1.1 & H \\ 6.9 \pm 0.2 & {}^{3}He \\ 9.0 \pm 1.7 & {}^{12}C \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{d^3\Gamma}{dE_e d\Omega_e d\Omega_v} &\propto F(Z, E_e) p_e E_e (E_0 - E_e)^2 \\ &\times \left( f_1(E_e) + a_{\beta \nu} (E_e) \frac{\vec{p}_e \cdot \vec{p}_{\nu}}{E_e E_{\nu}} + b_{\text{Fierz}} (E_e) \frac{m_e}{E_e} \right) \end{split}$$

$$a_{\beta\nu} = \left( |M_F|^2 (|C_V|^2 + |C_V'|^2 - |C_S|^2 - |C_S'|^2) - \frac{1}{3} |M_{GT}|^2 (|C_A|^2 + |C_A'|^2 - |C_T|^2 - |C_T'|^2) \right) \xi^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\xi = |M_F|^2 (|C_V|^2 + |C_V'|^2 + |C_S|^2 + |C_S'|^2) + |M_{GT}|^2 (|C_A|^2 + |C_A'|^2 + |C_T'|^2)$$
(3)

$$b_{\text{Fierz}} = \pm 2\sqrt{1 - (Z\alpha)^2} \text{Re}[|M_F|^2 (C_S C_V^* + C_S' C_V'^*) + |M_{\text{GT}}|^2 (C_T C_A^* + C_T' C_A'^*)] \xi^{-1}.$$

Recent <sup>21</sup>Na trapping measurements, yielded

$$a^{exp} = 0.5502(60)$$
 vs.  $a^{the-SM} = 0.553(2)$ 

puts limits on possible tensor, scalar couplings.

