Granddad was superstitious about books. He thought that if you had enough of them around, education leaked out, like radioactivity.

Terry Pratchett

Nuclear Astrophysics: Reaction Networks

Frank Timmes

June 28-July 10, 2009 National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory (NSCL) Michigan State University | East Lansing, Michigan

/meetings.nscl.msu.edu/NNPSS09 Organizers: nnpss@nscl.msu.edu

Betsy Beise, University of Maryland; Philippe Chomaz, GANIL; Alexandra Gade, Michigan State University; Bob McKeown, California Institute of Technology; Frank Timmes, University of Arizona; Bill Zajc, Columbia University; John Hardy, Texas A&M University; Dave Morrissey, Michigan State University; Witek Nazarewicz, University of Tennessee; Derek Teaney, Stony Brook University; Michael Wiescher, University of Notre Dame; Sherry Yennello, Texas A&M University National Science Foundation; US Department of Energy's Institute for Nuclear Theory; Michigan State University; National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory (NSCL) topics: invited lecturers: sponsors: Hadron Physics, Nuclear Reactions, Nuclear Structure, QCD, Neutrinos, Nuclear Astrophysics, Fundamental Symmetries **Hatz (Chair), Wolfgang Bauer, Shari Conroy**

Outline for 29Jun2009

- 1. Overall theme: putting research tools in your hands
- 2. Some nomenclature
- 3. Forming a nuclear reaction network
- 4. Proton-proton chains

My overall purpose is to put a research level, nuclear reaction network toolkit in your hands.

By the end of my 4 lectures you will (hopefully) have these networks under your control and in your knowledge base:

Hydrogen burners: PP chains, CNO cycles Alpha chains: 13 isotopes, 19 isotopes Big Bang nucleosynthesis General reaction network

These reaction networks are written in Fortran 90, so you will need a suitable compiler: gfortran, g95, ifort, xlf, absoft, portland, etc.

Reaction networks are an key tool in nuclear astrophysics and other areas of physics, astronomy, chemistry, biology, and geology.

Networks are relevant for modeling nucleosynthesis processes and their associated energy generation in stars.

> **STELLAR INTERIORS** SINS & NUCLEOSYNTHE

These talks will provide an overview of the nuclear astrophysics, mathematics, and computational techniques of reaction networks. In only 4 talks, however, they will not be complete.

Stuff of the day

cococubed.asu.edu click on "some astronomy codes" and/or "some astronomy talks"

How the Sun Shines nobelprize.org/physics/articles/fusion/index.html

Thermonuclear Kinetics in Astrophysics cococubed.asu.edu/papers/hix_meyer.pdf

Integration of Nuclear Reaction Networks... www.iop.org/EJ/abstract/0067-0049/124/1/241/

Some nomenclature

An isotope can be characterized by the dimensionless integers Z = number of protons = atomic number $N =$ number of neutrons $A = Z + N =$ number of nucleons

The Avogadro number, from the 2006 CODATA values,

 $N_A = 6.02214179 \pm 0.00000030 \times 10^{23}$ 1/mole

is the number of ``entities'' in one mole. When an individual entity has a mass *m* in grams, the atomic weight or molar mass is

 $W = mN_A$ g/mol.

The mass of all entities is the number of moles times the molar mass.

The atomic mass unit (amu) is defined as 1/12 mass of an isolated $12C$ atom at rest and in its ground state. For $12C$, we define the molar mass to be W=12.0 g/mol. An amu then has *W*=1 g/mol. Hence,

 1 amu = $1/N_A = 1.660538782 \pm 0.000000083 \times 10^{-24}$ g.

Thus, one can say *NA* has units of grams but care must be taken to apply the implicit mol/g conversion to other quantities of interest.

In this system of units, the molar mass *W* is dimensionless. Mixing the $[1/mol]$ and $[1/g]$ systems of units will cause confusion. The rest mass of a single isotope *k* is

$$
m_k = Nm_n + Zm_p + Z(1 - f)m_e - \Delta m
$$

= Nm_n + Zm_p + Z(1 - f)m_e - $\frac{B}{c^2}$ g,

 m_n is the neutron mass *mp* is the proton mass *me* is the electron mass *f* is the ionization fraction (0 for a neutral atom, 1 for full ionization), Δ*m* is the mass deficit *B* is the nuclear binding energy in erg.

Sometimes terms like $[15.7 Z^{5/3} - 13.6 Z eV]$ are added to estimate the electronic binding energy. Such terms are usually negligible. The molar mass of the isotope is $W_k = m_k N_A$.

For a mixture of isotopes, define

$$
\rho = \frac{\sum n_i A_i}{N_A} \quad \text{g cm}^{-3}, \text{ baryon mass density}
$$

where *ni* is the number density of species *i*.

 $X_i =$ $A_{\bm{i}}n_{\bm{i}}$ ρN_{A} = ρ_i ρ mass fraction*,* dimensionless

$$
Y_i = \frac{X_i}{A_i} = \frac{n_i}{\rho N_A}
$$

molar fraction*.*dimensionless

 \sum *k i*=1 $X_i = 1$ mass conservation And finally (for today)

$$
\overline{A} = \frac{\sum n_i A_i}{\sum n_i} = \frac{1}{\sum Y_i} \qquad \qquad \overline{Z} = \frac{\sum n_i Z_i}{\sum n_i} = \overline{A} \sum Y_i Z_i
$$

Let's start talking about a special, but rather large class of ordinary differential equations (ODEs) - those that derive from nuclear/chemical/biological reaction networks.

Let's walk through an example of a reaction network and indicate informally how it induces a system of ODEs.

Suppose we throw the various species in a pot that is constantly stirred so its contents remain spatially homogeneous for all time.

We'll also assume that the contents are kept at constant temperature and volume (constant density); hydrostatic burning.

This is not to say the composition remains constant in time. Reactions will consume some species and generate others. In fact, it is the time evolution of the composition that we wish to investigate.

Denote the instantaneous values of the molar abundances by Y_A , Y_B , Y_C , Y_D , and Y_E . We want to write down five ODEs that describe the evolution of the five mole fractions.

Let's begin by considering the instantaneous rate of change of Y_A .

Every time $A\rightarrow 2B$ we lose one unit of A and this reaction occurs with an instantaneous, non-negative, real valued rate of KA→2B.

Similarly the reaction $A + C \rightarrow D$ loses a unit of species A, while $2B\rightarrow A$, $B+E\rightarrow A+C$, $D\rightarrow A+C$ produces a unit of species A. So we write

\dot{Y} $K_{A} = -K_{A \to 2B} + K_{2B \to A} - K_{A+C \to D} + K_{D \to A+C} + K_{B+E \to A+C}$

Continuing in this way, we can write down a system of ODEs that govern our reactor:

$$
\dot Y_A = -K_{A\rightarrow 2B}+K_{2B\rightarrow A}-K_{A+C\rightarrow D}+K_{D\rightarrow A+C}+K_{B+E\rightarrow A+C}
$$

 $A \sim 2B$

 $B + E$

 $A + C \leftarrow$

 \dot{Y} $B = 2K_{A\rightarrow 2B} - 2K_{2B\rightarrow A} + K_{D\rightarrow B+E} - K_{B+E\rightarrow A+C}$

$$
\dot{Y}_C = -K_{A+C\rightarrow D} + K_{D\rightarrow A+C} + K_{B+E\rightarrow A+C}
$$

 \dot{Y} $K_D = K_{A+C\to D} - K_{D\to A+C} - K_{D\to B+E}$

 \dot{Y} $K_E = K_{D\rightarrow B+E} - K_{B+E\rightarrow A+C}$

We haven't said anything yet about the nature of the reaction rates. For A→2B, the more A there is, the more reaction there will be. We take the rate of A→2B to be proportional to Y_A : $K_{A\rightarrow 2B} = \alpha Y_A$.

For $A+C\rightarrow D$, a unit of species A must meet a unit of species C. We take the probability of such an encounter to be proportional to the product Y_AY_C : $K_{A+C\rightarrow D} = \gamma Y_AY_C$.

Svante August Arrhenius Nobel Prize 1903

With mass action kinetics, our rate functions take the form

$$
\kappa_{A\rightarrow 2B} = \alpha Y_A
$$
\n
$$
\kappa_{2B\rightarrow A} = \beta Y_B^2
$$
\n
$$
\kappa_{A+C\rightarrow D} = \gamma Y_A Y_C
$$
\n
$$
\kappa_{D\rightarrow B+E} = \varepsilon Y_D
$$
\n
$$
\kappa_{D\rightarrow A+C} = \delta Y_D
$$
\n
$$
\kappa_{B+E\rightarrow A+C} = \varepsilon Y_B Y_E
$$
\nQ

\n

The rate "constants" α , β , γ , δ , ε , and ξ may depend on temperature and density.

And our reaction network takes the form

 $\dot{Y}_A = -\alpha Y_A + \beta Y_B^2 - \gamma Y_A Y_C + \delta Y_D + \xi Y_B Y_E$

 $\dot{Y}_B = 2 \alpha Y_A - 2 \beta Y_B^2 + \epsilon Y_D - \xi Y_B Y_E$

 \dot{Y} $C = -\gamma Y_A Y_C + \delta Y_D + \xi Y_B Y_E$

 \dot{Y} $Y_D = \gamma Y_A Y_C - \delta Y_D - \epsilon Y_D$

 \dot{Y} $Y_E = \epsilon Y_D - \xi Y_B Y_E$

Interlude

Gerry Wasserburg 1993

There are different of types of nuclear reactions: emission or absorption of nuclei and nucleons, photons (γ-rays) and leptons (electrons, neutrinos, and their anti-particles).

Nuclear reactions involve three of the four fundamental forces, the nuclear strong, electromagnetic and nuclear weak forces.

Weak interactions (those involving leptons) generally proceed more slowly than those involving nucleons and photons, but these are the only reactions that can change the global proton to neutron ratio.

A key quantity is the cross section σ for a nuclear reaction.

The cross section σ_{ij} for the reaction $i(j,k)$ is the number of reactions per target nucleus i per second divided by the flux of nuclei of type j (number/cm2/s).

> $\sigma(v) = \frac{\text{number of reactions per sec}}{g \cdot v}$ flux of incoming projectiles = r_{ij}/n_i $n_j v_{ij}$

Cross sections are usually reported in "barns", 10-24 cm2.

The reaction rate per unit volume r_{ij} , in the simplest case, is then

$$
r_{ij} = [\text{flux of } j] n_i \sigma_{ij}(v) = v_{ij} n_j n_i \sigma_{ij}(v) \quad \text{cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}
$$

More generally, the targets and projectiles have distributions of velocities, in which case r_{ij} is given by

$$
r_{i,j}=\int\sigma(|\vec{v_i}-\vec{v_j}|)|\vec{v}_i-\vec{v}_j|d^3n_id^3n_j\quad\text{cm}^{-3}\text{s}^{-1}
$$

Evaluation of the integrals depends on the particle statistics. For nuclei i and j that obey Maxwell–Boltzmann statistics

$$
d^3n = n\left(\frac{m}{2\pi k_BT}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{mv^2}{2k_BT}\right) d^3v
$$

allowing *n*i and *n*j to be moved outside of the integral.

Then

$$
r_{ij} <\sigma v>_{ij} n_i n_j = (N_A \rho)^2 <\sigma v>_{ij} Y_i Y_j \quad \text{cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}
$$

where <σv>_{ij} is the velocity integrated cross section. The rate of change in the number density of species i with time is

$$
\dot{n}_i \equiv \sum_{j,k} r_{jk} \quad \text{cm}^{-3}\text{s}^{-1}
$$

or

 $\dot{Y}_i = \sum$ j,k $N_A \rho < \sigma v >_{ij} Y_j Y_k = \sum \lambda_{ij} \rho Y_j Y_k = \sum \rho v_j$ *j,k* j,k $R_{ij}Y_jY_k$ s $^{-1}$

where λ_{ij} is what common reaction rate compilations list, and Rij is "the reaction rate" used in our codes.

Michael Wiescher will say more about measuring cross sections and Q-values later in this school.

For now, we've established what we need to continue forming a nuclear reaction network.

built in 1988

Consider a unidirectional binary reaction with unity coefficients.

$$
i + j \rightarrow k + l
$$

\n
$$
\dot{Y}_i = -Y_i Y_j R_{ij}
$$

\n
$$
\dot{Y}_j = -Y_i Y_j R_{ij}
$$

\n
$$
\dot{Y}_k = Y_i Y_j R_{ij}
$$

\n
$$
\dot{Y}_l = Y_i Y_j R_{ij}
$$

Where the reaction rate R_{ij} absorbs the density, Avogado number, and \langle $\sigma v \rangle$ _{ij} terms.

Now consider the case when the coefficients are not unity.

 $c_i i + c_j j \rightarrow c_k k + c_l l$

$$
\dot{Y}_i = -\frac{c_i}{c_i!c_j!}Y_i^{c_i}Y_j^{c_j}R_{ij}
$$

$$
\dot{Y}_j = -\frac{c_j}{c_i!c_j!}Y_i^{c_i}Y_j^{c_j}R_{ij}
$$

$$
\dot{Y}_k = \frac{c_k}{c_i!c_j!}Y_i^{c_i}Y_j^{c_j}R_{ij}
$$

$$
\dot{Y}_l = \frac{c_l}{c_i!c_j!}Y_i^{c_i}Y_j^{c_j}R_{ij}
$$

If there are identical reactants, $i=j$, set $c_i = 2c_i$ and $c_j = 0$.

For a general bidirectional binary reaction

 $\overline{c_i i + c_j j} \leftrightarrow \overline{c_k k} + c_l l$

 $\dot{\bar{Y}}$ $\zeta_p = \sum$ r,s c_p $\overline{c_r!c_s!}$ $Y_r^{c_r} Y_s^{c_s} R_{rs} - \sum$ \overline{q} c_p $\overline{c_p!c_q!}$ $Y^{c_p}_pY^{c_q}_qR_{pq}$

If there are identical reactants, i=j, set $c_i = 2c_i$ and $c_q = c_s = 0$.

Reactions can be divided into three categories based on the number of reactants which are nuclei.

Reactions involving a single nucleus - decays, electron and positron captures, photodisintegrations, and neutrino induced reactions depend on the number density of only the target species.

$$
\dot{Y}_i = \sum_j C_i R_j Y_j
$$

For a binary reaction,

$$
\dot{Y}_i = \sum_{jk} \frac{C_i}{C_j! C_k!} R_{jk} Y_j Y_k
$$

The C_i's can be positive or negative numbers that specify how many particles of species i are created or destroyed.

There are also a few important three-particle processes (like the triple-α process) which are commonly successive captures with an intermediate unstable target.

Using an equilibrium abundance for the unstable intermediate, the contributions of these reactions are commonly written in the form of a three-particle processes, depending on a trio of number densities.

 $\dot{Y}_i = \sum$ jk C_i C_j ! C_k ! C_l ! $R_{jk}Y_jY_kY_l$

A reaction network may described by the following set of ODEs

 $\dot{Y}_i = \sum$ *j* $C_i R_j Y_j + \sum$ jk C_i C_j ! C_k ! $R_{jk}Y_jY_k + \sum$ jkl C_i C_j ! C_k ! C_l ! $R_{jk}Y_jY_kY_l$

 127 Isotopes 1270 Rates 87.6% Sparse Flows (#/sec): 3.05E+13 3.84E+04 4.15E+01 -2.23E+01 -2.07E+04 -1.91E+07 -1.77E+10 -1.64E+13

Interlude

Nuclear Science

Nuclear Science is the endy of the senature, properties, and interactions of the atomic marks. Nuclear scientists calculate and measure the masses, shapes, sixes, and decays of macket at rest and in collisions. They ask quartions, such an Why do machines way in the nucleus! What combinations of protons and neutrons are possible? What happens when madel are compressed or sapidly round? What is the origin of the madel found on Earth?

Expansion of the Universe

.
The de lig lung, the universe repunded and couled. As about 30° accord, the universe consisted of a singe of quarks, gluona, electrons, and s. When the temperature of the Universe, Universe cooled to show 10" K, this away conferent into protons, tentroin, and electrons. As time
ed, wear of the protons and neutrons formed deuterium, helium, and lithium nuclei. ive mas such to fam neural exm. Due to garity, doub of come contacted into east, when hydrogen and believe feed into more means
deminal demons. Suphaling east jugarnmeal from the most maxim almosts and disperse them into s

Phases of Nuclear Matter

Nuclear matter can raist in arrend phases When collisions-excite nuclei, individ ma and nav top mer engorske fines the reaches think for entirely the term case or density, a me of eachnote line cand) forms. As even more exis-**Indiana** os. Ind **OR HAT LEW** so have meaningful idea into the quark-glass plasma (polic Inchessun): Conext data provide bints that physician have allowed the coach glass plans.

Radioactivity **Nuclear Energy** The 126 Nuclear machine minor mang utive decay transf lucleus a nucleus by emissing different when the total mass of the just- $3H₀$ particles. In alpha decay, the ducts is less than the sum of the Iran missan a 115 coupless come of a good health manner of the initial market. The $\frac{18.0}{16.0}$ an alpha particle. In both decay, els, ruck as the piece we described Toot man" appear as kinesis
easage of the products (E = suc').
In fasion, a massive nucleus splits sa miiliser mesina um olev action for a new man and an to end arother or capture an **Beta Minus** into two major Engineers she **Fusion** omác claveros and costo a unally specialist or mote Decay strong ise. A position is the name cluse pas neutron in the antiquetists of the electron market combine at form a more field ă ner is composed of andmasive nucleus plus one or may $10⁻¹$ m articles: Both alpha and hero He v. rin tel periode - neu intern change the original trackers
into a machine of a different proton prosons, photons, or sight **Beta Plan** \mathbf{e} quark Decay WО then passed element. In gamma \vec{f}_B the main stages of estimates coincides of our non-and-scher
stars, by
dropped bases to form betters, whenever many in the form of phenom (light) and neutrinos. During the hand
maps of estimate are excellentially - 101 Detect the markets becam in oil menge by resisting a phonos-a gamma say This decay
four not medify the chemical $\overline{}$ electromagnetic Sun-Alexino the of the same **Li**Dy field must have a most grower than ten ا الىي¹ of an airlin, electronic court withhibit a desaion resista ay la tanta dilak the surface districted in the distribution **Chart of the Nuclides E** Note (Down) \$1 slaw, this plant will \overline{I} be Chase of the Nuclides powers in graphic from all known mathet with anomic mather. $\mathbb X$ and neutron mathet, $\mathbb X$ **SEARCH AND THE REAL** ę, Buch modials to represented by a box colored
more
ding to its proforminant decay mode. Magic mombers (N oz Z = 3, 8, 20, **Applications** ا مع 50, 62 and 126) are indicated by a **Radioactive Dating** Space Exploration **Nuclear Reactors** rectorgle on the chart. They quest to major closed **Color Key** shells and show segious rach as "30 nor and to does chiests the --**Black** die Collect of greater nuclear are used in many are from a study of artifices fixed at the six hinding storep-**B** Spontaneous and both month 4,000 month of Alpha perticle **Smoke Detectors** Nuclear Medicine **Magnetic Resonance Imaging** ie iempo, sub e¹⁹27s, poe ntic Rosswort (maging (NBI) makes on of at **U** Beta minus Mu **Continued And Internet** and TDL are means ade und in du ding into levelhing the ecopteric Ealth of a markets to mails -Nof Alexan, Paul ⁸ Beta plus emission comes and are official show لمناكب منتد our also captum

www.CPEPweb.org

Ampledal picture course NAM/FUGINA and AFRASTRA C Copyright 2005 Contraporary Physia Education Project (CPEP) MS 508600 LENE Bothley, CA 90709 USA Support from U.S. Department of Economic Debution Laterman Bothley National Laboratory - Nachara Sinces Division, American How does the Sun shine?

Wood - Ancient Greeks lasts 2000 years

Coal - Middle Ages lasts 4000 years

Gravitational - 1800's lasts 4 million years

Nuclear reactions - 1940's lasts 10 billion years

Four hydrogen nuclei get transformed into one helium nucleus. The limiting step is a rare reaction; hence a long lived Sun.

But the mass of 4 hydrogen nuclei is larger than the mass of 1 helium nucleus. Where did the missing mass go?

 $\overline{E} = mc^2$

The sun presently shines by burning hydrogen (fuel) into helium (ash) in its core.

600 million tons of hydrogen are converted into 596 million tons of helium every second

proton-proton chains

Hans Bethe realized in 1939 that a weak interaction could convert a proton into neutron during the brief encounter of a scattering event.

Since the neutron is more massive than a proton, such a decay would require energy (endothermic) except that the neutron can appear in a bound state with the proton in the form of deuterium.

The binding energy is sufficient (2.2245 MeV) to make the reaction exothermic.

We have four species to track (¹H, ²H, ³He, ⁴He), and three binary reactions that couple these species; $p(p,e+v)²H$, ${}^{2}H(p,y)³He$, and ${}^{3}He({}^{3}He,2p)⁴He$.

 $\dot{Y}_{\rm p} = -Y_{\rm p} Y_{\rm p} R_{\rm p,p} - Y_{\rm p} Y_{\rm d} R_{\rm p,d} + Y_{\rm 3he} Y_{\rm 3he} R_{\rm 3he,3he}$

$$
\dot{Y}_{\rm d}=0.5Y_{\rm p}Y_{\rm p}R_{\rm p,p}-Y_{\rm p}Y_{\rm d}R_{\rm p,d}
$$

 $\dot{\dot{Y}}$ $Y_{\rm 3he} = Y_{\rm p} Y_{\rm d} R_{\rm p,d} - Y_{\rm he3} Y_{\rm he3} R_{\rm he3,he3}$

 \dot{Y} $V_{\rm{4he}}=0.5Y_{\rm{he3}}Y_{\rm{he3}}R_{\rm{he3,he3}}$

Prior to 1958 it was believed the PPI chain would proceed under most conditions, even if lots of 4He were present.

Holmgren & Johnston measured the ${}^{3}He(\alpha,\gamma)$ ⁷Be cross section to be 2500 times larger than the previously accepted value, making this reaction compete with 3He(3He,2p)4He for 3He nuclei, particularly at higher temperatures.

This leads to two new chains for converting H to He, PPII and PPIII, corresponding to the two possible fates of the 7Be nucleus.

The weights of the reactions are given for conditions in the Sun. The PP chains are the most important energy source in stars with masses less than 1.5 Msun.

After Parker, Bahcall & Fowler ApJ 139, 602, 1964. Also see Clayton figure 5-10.

Tasks for the day

Derive the ODE equations for the PPI chain.

Download, compile, and run the pp-chain code from www.cococubed.com/code_pages/burn.shtml

Run the code in hydrostatic mode for T = 1.5×10^7 K, $\varrho = 150$ g/cm³, and an initial composition of 75% H and 25% He by mass. Plot the abundance evolution. How much hydrogen is currently left in the center of the Sun? How long will the Sun live?

Questions and Discussion

2009 NATIONAL NUCLEAR PHYSICS SUMMER SCHOOL

June 28-July 10, 2009

National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory (NSCL) Michigan State University | East Lansing, Michigan

meetings.nscl.msu.edu/NNPSS09

topics: Neutrinos, Nuclear Astrophysics, Fundamental Symmetries Hadron Physics, Nuclear Reactions, Nuclear Structure, QCD, Organizers: nnpss@nscl.msu.edu
Organizers: Hendrik Schatz (Chair), Wolfgang Bauer, Shari Conroy, Michael Thoennessen

Betsy Beise, University of Maryland; Philippe Chomaz, GANIL; Alexandra Gade, Michigan State University; Bob McKeown, California Institute of Technology; Frank Timmes, University of Arizona; Bill Zajc, Columbia University; John Hardy, Texas A&M University; Dave Morrissey, Michigan State University; Witek Nazarewicz, University of Tennessee; Derek Teaney, Stony Brook University; Michael Wiescher, University of Notre Dame; Sherry Yennello, Texas A&M University National Science Foundation; US Department of Energy's Institute for Nuclear Theory; invited lecturers:

SOONSOTS National Science Foundation; US Department of Energy's Institute for Nuclear The

UNIVERSITY