Nuclear structure theory

Thomas Papenbrock



and OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

Lecture 3: Self-consistent mean-field and single-particle methods

National Nuclear Physics Summer School 2008 George Washington University

Bottom-up approach to nuclear structure



Theoretical method for the entire nuclear chart ?





Nobel Prize in chemistry 1998



Two quotes from Kohn's Nobel lecture:

I begin with a provocative statement. In general the many-electron wavefunction $\Psi(r_1,...,r_N)$ for a system of N electrons is not a legitimate scientific concept, when $N \ge N_0$, where $N_0 \approx 10^3$.

I will use two criteria for defining "legitimacy": a) That Ψ can be calculated with sufficient accuracy and b) can be recorded with sufficient accuracy.

In concluding this section I remark that DFT, while *derived* from the N-particle Schroedinger equation, is finally expressed entirely in terms of the density n(r), in the Hohenberg-Kohn formulation,^[1] and in terms of n(r) and *single*-particle wavefunctions $\psi_j(r)$, in the Kohn-Sham formulation^[2]. This is why it has been most useful for systems of very many electrons where wavefunction methods encounter and are stopped by the "exponential wall". "for his development of the density-functional theory"

Walter Kohn

Density-functional theory (DFT)

Theoretical basis: Hohenberg-Kohn theorem (1964)

Map from ground-state wave function to density

 $\psi(r)
ightarrow
ho(r)$

Map from density to set of all corresponding wave functions

$$ho(r)
ightarrow \{\psi(r)\}|_{
ho}$$

Energy functional: energy is minimum in this set

$$F[\rho(r)] = \min_{\{\psi\}|\rho} \langle \psi | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle$$

Ground-state energy from minimization of the functional

$$E_{gs} = \min_{\rho} \{ F[\rho] + \int dr v(r) \rho(r) \}$$

Density-functional theory

Alternative view: Energy functional is a Legendre transform (Lieb, 1983)

Find ground-state energy for all external potentials (this is a functional)

$$v(r) \to E[v(r)]$$

Perform functional Legendre transform

1. Compute density as functional derivative

$$\rho(r) = \frac{\delta E[v(r)]}{\delta v(r)}$$

- 2. Inversion: Find potential in terms of density
- 3. Construct Legendre transform

$$F[\rho(r)] = E[v(r)] - \int \mathrm{d}r v(r) \rho(r)$$

This path of construction can actually be followed for dilute Fermi gases!

Example: Energy of a non-interacting fermions (Thomas-Fermi approximation)



Note: Hohenberg-Kohn DFT not a practical (i.e. accurate) tool

- Local approximations of the density functional are too inaccurate
- Problem is particular with the kinetic energy density

Systematic construction of density functional for dilute Fermi gas

Dilute Fermi gas:

All parameters of the potential (scattering length, effective range, ...) much smaller than the Fermi wave length (or average two-particle distance) \rightarrow small expansion parameter exist, namely $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{F}}\mathbf{a}$.

Use EFT to systematically construct energy density functional in terms of these small parameters.

$$\mathcal{E} = \rho \frac{\hbar^2 k_F^2}{2m} \left\{ \frac{3}{5} + \left[\frac{2}{3\pi} k_F a + \frac{4}{35\pi^2} (11 - 2\log 2) (k_F a)^2 \right] \right\}$$

$$\rho = \frac{k_F^3}{3\pi^2}$$

Contributions from interaction

This systematic approach gives valuable insights into the construction of density functionals. However, it is at present limited to "solvable" Hamiltonians.

See, e.g., R. J. Furnstahl and H.-W. Hammer, Annals Phys. 302 (2002) 206. S. J. Puglia et al, Nucl.Phys. A723 (2003) 145. Kohn-Sham DFT [W. Kohn & L. Sham, Phys. Rev. 140 (1965) A1133]

• Kohn-Sham: The form of the density functional is

$$E[\rho] = \int d^3r \left(\tau(r) + V_{\text{ext}}(r)\rho(r)\right) + E_{\text{int}}[\rho]$$

$$\rho(r) = \sum_{k=1}^{A} |\psi_k(r)|^2 \quad \text{density}$$

$$\tau(r) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{k=1}^{A} |\nabla\psi_k(r)|^2 \quad \text{kin. energy density of free fermions (nonlocal!)}$$

• Kohn-Sham equations: $\delta E[\rho] = 0$

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta + \frac{\delta E_{\text{int}}[\rho]}{\delta\rho(r)} + V_{\text{ext}}(r)\right)\psi_k(r) = \mu\psi_k(r)$$

- Remarks: Single-particle Schroedinger equation has to be solved.
- For nuclei, one uses the *local density approximation* (LDA), and gradient corrections.

$$E_{\text{int}} = E_{\text{int}}[\rho, \nabla \rho] = \int d^3 r \mathcal{E}(\rho, \nabla \rho)$$

^

Idea behind Kohn-Sham DFT



Ground state density of interacting fermions in external harmonic trap.

Turn off the interaction, but change external potential such that the density remains that of the interacting system. The additional potential is the Kohn-Sham potential.

Picture from R. Furnstahl: http://trshare.triumf.ca/~schwenk/ECT/furnstahl1.pdf

Fermi gas with infinite scattering length (unitary regime)

Interacting gas with no length scale from interaction

- Simple scaling arguments apply
- Total energy proportional to energy of free Fermi gas
- Monte Carlo [Carlson et al. (2003)]: universal proportionality factor is 0.44 +/- 0.01

Density-functional theory for fermions In the unitary regime, Papenbrock (2005)

$$\mathcal{E}[\rho] = \frac{\hbar^2}{m} \left[\frac{m}{2m_{\text{eff}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} |\nabla \phi_j(\vec{r})|^2 + \left(\xi - \frac{m}{m_{\text{eff}}}\right) c \rho^{5/3} \right]$$



Local Density Functional Theory for Superfluid Fermionic Systems: The Unitary Gas, Bulgac (2007)

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{r}) = \alpha \frac{\tau(\mathbf{r})}{2} + \beta \frac{3(3\pi^2)^{2/3} n^{5/3}(\mathbf{r})}{10} + \gamma \frac{|\nu(\mathbf{r})|^2}{n^{1/3}(\mathbf{r})}$$

Nuclear DFT

Problem: Local density approximation not accurate (e.g. pairing would require highly nonlocal functional)

Approach: Include anomalous pairing densities and work with quasi-particle states

1. Add sources to the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} \rightarrow \hat{H} + \int d^3 r \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(r) \hat{\psi}(r) v(r) + \int d^3 r \left(\hat{\psi}(r) \hat{\psi}(r) + \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(r) \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(r) \right) w(x)$$

$$E_{g.s.} = E[v(r), w(r)]$$

2. Perform Legendre transform with respect to all sources

$$F[\rho(r),\kappa(r)] = E[v(r),w(r)] - \int d^3r v(r)\rho(r) - \int d^3r w(r)\kappa(r)$$

Skyrme Hartree Fock theory

Refs.: T.H.R. Skyrme, Phil. Mag. 1 (1956) 1043; D. Vautherin and D. M. Brink, PRC 5 (1972) 626; J. W. Negele and D. Vautherin, PRC 5 (1972) 1472.

Main idea: Use mean-field Hamiltonian that depends on densities and currents, and solve self-consistently.

$$\begin{split} E &= \int d^3 r \, n \left\{ \mathcal{E}_{\rm kin} + \mathcal{E}_{\rm Skyrme} + \mathcal{E}_{\rm Skyrme,odd} \right\} + E_{\rm Coulomb} + E_{\rm pair} + E_{\rm cm} \quad , \\ n \mathcal{E}_{\rm kin} &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int d^3 \tau \quad , \\ n \mathcal{E}_{\rm Skyrme} &= \frac{B_0 + B_3 n^{\alpha}}{2} n^2 - \frac{B'_0 + B'_3 n^{\alpha}}{2} \tilde{n}^2 \\ &+ B_1 (n\tau - \mathbf{j}^2) - B'_1 (\tilde{n}\tilde{\tau} - \tilde{\mathbf{j}}^2) - \frac{B_2}{2} n\Delta n + \frac{B'_2}{2} \tilde{n}\Delta \tilde{n} \\ &- B_4 n \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} - (B_4 + B'_4) \tilde{n} \nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{J}} + \frac{C_1}{2} \mathbf{J}^2 - \frac{C'_1}{2} \tilde{\mathbf{J}}^2 \quad , \\ n \mathcal{E}_{\rm Skyrme,odd} &= -\frac{C_0 + C_3 n^{\alpha}}{2} \sigma^2 + \frac{C'_0 + C'_3 n^{\alpha}}{2} \tilde{\sigma}^2 + \frac{C_2}{2} \sigma \cdot \Delta \sigma - \frac{C'_2}{2} \tilde{\sigma} \cdot \Delta \tilde{\sigma} \\ &- C_1 \sigma \cdot \tau + C'_1 \tilde{\sigma} \cdot \tilde{\tau} - B_4 \sigma \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{j}) - (B_4 + B'_4) \tilde{\sigma} \cdot (\nabla \times \tilde{\mathbf{j}}) \quad , \\ E_{\rm Coulomb} &= e^2 \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 r \, d^3 r' \frac{n_{\rm p}(\mathbf{r}) n_{\rm p}(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} - \frac{3}{4} e^2 \left(\frac{3}{\pi}\right)^{1/3} \int d^3 r \, [n_{\rm p}]^{4/3} \quad . \end{split}$$

Pairing in mean-field description: Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (HFB) theory

• Attractive interactions destabilize the Fermi-surface with respect to pair correlations, i.e. paired system has lower energy.



• Within HFB theory, vacuum state is product of quasi-particle states.

$$\widehat{c}_{j} = \sum_{i} \left(U_{ji} \widehat{a}_{i} + V_{ji} \widehat{a}_{i}^{\dagger} \right)$$
$$\{\widehat{c}_{i}, \widehat{c}_{j}^{\dagger}\} = \delta_{ij} = \{\widehat{a}_{i}, \widehat{a}_{j}^{\dagger}\}$$

Theory explains BCS-superconductivity. Pairing gaps in even-even nuclei, reduced moments of inertia, etc.



Figure 6.1. Excitation spectra of the 50Sn isotopes.

From: Ring & Schuck, The nuclear many-body problem.

Reminder: Symmetry-breaking in mean-field theories

- HF states usually break symmetries, e.g., translational invariance and rotational invariance.
- HFB quasi-particle states do not exhibit a definite number of particles.
- The breaking of symmetry is desired, as it allows the single-particle state to capture relevant correlations.
- Restoration of symmetries becomes an important issue.

Publicly available program

HFODD

J. Dobaczewski et al, Computer Physics Communications 167 (2005) 214

http://www.fuw.edu.pl/~dobaczew/hfodd/hfodd.html

Relativistic mean-field (RMF) theory

Relativistic models

- explain large spin-orbit splitting (large scalar and vector potentials).
- explain pseudo-spin symmetry.
- somewhat more constrained than non-relativistic models.

Relativistic Lagrangian: free nucleons, free mesons, and interactions.

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} \left(i\gamma \cdot \partial - m \psi \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial \sigma)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m \sigma^2$$

$$- \frac{1}{4} \Omega_{\mu\nu} \Omega^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m \psi^2 \omega^2 - \frac{1}{4} \vec{R}_{\mu\nu} \vec{R}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m \phi^2 \vec{\rho}^2 - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

$$- g_\sigma \bar{\psi} \sigma \psi - g_\omega \bar{\psi} \gamma \cdot \omega \psi - g_\rho \bar{\psi} \gamma \cdot \vec{\rho} \vec{\tau} \psi - e \bar{\psi} \gamma \cdot A \frac{(1 - \tau_3)}{2} \psi$$

Compete well with non-relativistic models.

P. Ring, Progr. Part. Nucl. Phys. 37 (1996) 193.

Determination of parameters in nuclear density functionals

Depending on sophistication of the density functional, 10-20 parameters have to be fixed by fit to experimental data.

No reliable theoretical derivation of these parameters, yet.

Recall: High-precision potentials have about 40 parameters.

RMS error on masses:

0.7MeV fit to entire mass table

2 MeV from fit to few nuclei.

| Table from L | Rikovska S | Stone, J. Ph | vs. G 31 | (2005) R211. | |
|--------------|-------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|--|

| Method | Skyrme | N_{par} | Data | $\sigma_{\rm rms}~({\rm MeV})$ |
|-----------|--------|------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ETFSI | SkSC4 | 11 | 1492 (<i>A</i> ≥ 36) | 0.736 |
| | | | $1374 (Z \ge 26)$ | 0.720 |
| ETFSI | SkSC40 | 11 | 1492 (<i>A</i> ≥ 36) | 0.736 |
| | SkSC14 | 11 | 1492 ($A \ge 36$) | 0.795 |
| | SkSC15 | 11 | 1492 ($A \ge 36$) | 0.741 |
| ETFSI | SkSC11 | 12 | 1492 (<i>A</i> ≥ 36) | 0.715 |
| ETFSI-2 | SkSC18 | 11 | $1719 (A \ge 36)$ | 0.709 |
| HFBCS1 | MSk1 | 14 | 416 (sph) | 0.848 |
| HFBCS1 | MSk2 | 14 | 416 (sph) | 0.816 |
| HFBCS1 | MSk3 | 14 | 416 (sph) | 0.784 |
| HFBCS1 | MSk4 | 14 | 416 (sph) | 0.730 |
| HFBCS1 | MSk5 | 14 | 416 (sph) | 0.709 |
| HFBCS1 | MSk6 | 14 | $1719 (A \ge 36)$ | 0.754 |
| HFBCS1 | MSk7 | 14 | $1719 (A \ge 36)$ | 0.701 |
| HFBCS1 | MSk7 | 14 | $1772 (A \ge 36)$ | 0.680 |
| HFBCS1 | MSk7 | 16 | 1888 $Z, N \ge 8$ | 0.738 |
| HFBCS | MSk7 | 16 | 1754 | 0.732 |
| HFBCS | MSk8 | 14 | 1772 (<i>A</i> ≥ 36) | 0.694 |
| HFBCS | MSk9 | 14 | $1772 (A \ge 36)$ | 0.747 |
| | MSk5* | 14 | 416 (sph) | 1.141 |
| | SkSP.1 | 20 | 416 (sph) | 0.764 |
| HFB | MSk7 | 16 | $1754 \ (A \ge 16), N - Z > 2$ | 0.946 |
| | BSk1 | 16 | $1754 \ (A \ge 16), N - Z > 2$ | 0.772 |
| | BSk1 | 16 | $1888 (A \ge 16)$ | 0.764 |
| HFB-1 | BSk1 | 16 | 1768 | 0.740 |
| HFB-1 | BSk1 | 16 | 1888 $(A \ge 16)$ | 0.766 |
| HFB-1(W1) | BSk1 | 16 | 1768 | 0.733 |
| HFB-1(W2) | BSk1 | 16 | 1768 | 0.702 |
| HFB-2 | BSk2 | 18 | 2135 | 0.674 |
| HFB-2' | BSk2' | 18 | 1768 | 0.651 |
| HFB-3 | BSk3 | 21 | 2135 | 0.656 |
| HFB-4 | BSk4 | 19 | 2135 | 0.680 |
| HFB-5 | BSk5 | 21 | 2135 | 0.675 |
| HFB-6 | BSk6 | 19 | 2135 | 0.686 |
| HFB-6 | BSk6 | 20 | 2135 | 0.684 |
| | | | 2149 | 0.666 |
| HFB-7 | BSk7 | 21 | 2135 | 0.676 |
| HFB-8 | BSk8 | 21 | 2135 | 0.659 |
| HFB-8 | BSk8 | 21 | 2149 | 0.635 |
| HFB-9 | BSk9 | 21 | 2149 | 0.733 |

Nuclear DFT: works well for differences



126

From W. Nazarewicz

Equation of state for pure neutron matter



Different parametrizations yield similar results close to saturation density.

FIG. 2. Energy per particle in pure neutron matter (at zero temperature) calculated with the effective interactions as indicated: Filled diamonds connected by a thin solid line denote results from a variational many-body calculation (Friedmann and Pandharipande, 1981) which are widely used as reference data for neutron matter.

Neutron separation energies and charge radii in Pb isotopes

S.A. Fayans et al. / Nuclear Physics A 676 (2000) 49–119



Two-neutron separation energy in Sn isotopes



Good agreement with experiment where data exists (partly input to functional)

Divergent results in extrapolations.

Nuclei close to drip line magnify aspects that are poorly modeled so far!

FIG. 5. Two-neutron separation energy S_{2n} for the chain of tin isotopes. The inset on the upper right shows results for $N \leq 82$.

Radii of charge distributions



FIG. 11. Comparison of rms radii of the charge distributions from spherical mean-field calculations. Experimental data are taken from Nadjakov *et al.* (1994). Filled diamonds denote results from direct radius measurements, while open diamonds are obtained from measurements of isotopic shifts.

Shape transitions in Gd isotopes



Potential energy surfaces

spherical transitional deformed

Shape transitions commonly encountered in Rare Earth nuclei.

 \rightarrow Interacting boson model and dynamical symmetries.

FIG. 13. Transition from spherical to deformed shapes in the chain of Gd isotopes. Upper panel: HF+BCS potential-energy surfaces calculated with the SLy6 interaction for neutron numbers ranging from 82 to 90. Lower panel: Ground-state deformation of Gd isotopes for several forces. Pairing is treated with the BCS method except for BSk1 for which the HFB method is used. Experimental values are taken from Raman *et al.* (2001).

Fission barriers



FIG. 15. Paths in the deformation energy landscape of ²⁴⁰Pu calculated with the SkI4 interaction. The solid line corresponds to axial quadrupole and octupole (reflection asymmetric) constraints, the dashed line to triaxial quadrupole constraints, the dotted line to axial quadrupole constraint only. The two steep lines correspond to the symmetric (dotted line) and asymmetric (solid line) fusion paths. Shapes along the paths are indicated by the density contours at $\rho_0 = 0.07$ fm⁻³. From Bender (1998).

M. Bender et al, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75 (2003) 121

Fusion paths

Fission paths:

Relaxing symmetries (on possible shapes/deformations) typically lower the energy.

Mean-field model exaggerate barriers when compared to date.

Recall: exponential sensitivity of tunneling rate on barrier height.

Shape coexistence in superheavy nuclei



Figure 1 Deformation properties of even–even superheavy nuclei calculated selfconsistently in the (*N*,*Z*)-plane with the SLy4 nuclear energy density functional. The centre of the shell stability is predicted around *N* = 184, *Z* = 126. **a**, Deformation energy (in MeV) defined as a difference between the ground-state energy and the energy at the spherical shape. The *Z* = 110–113 α -decay chains found at GSI and RIKEN are marked by red squares. The *Z* = 118, 116, 115 and 114 unconfirmed α decay chains reported in Dubna are marked by yellow squares. **b**, Predicted groundstate mass quadrupole deformation β_2 (extracted using equation (7) of ref. 6 from the calculated axial mass quadrupole moment Q_{20}) and corresponding nuclear shapes for selected nuclei. Prolate shapes ($\beta_2 > 0$) are coloured red–orange, oblate shapes ($\beta_2 < 0$) are blue–green, and spherical shapes ($\beta_2 = 0$) are light yellow.



Figure 2 Q_{α} values for even–even nuclei with $96 \le Z \le 118$ obtained in the selfconsistent calculations using the energy density functional SLy4. They are compared to experimental data (closed symbols), including the recent Dubna–Livermore data on the Z = 116 and 118 α -decay chains^{23,24}. The irregular behaviour of Q_{α} as a function of particle numbers can be attributed to shell effects and the resulting deformation changes. (After ref.1.)

S. Cwiok et al, Nature 433 (2005) 705.

SciDAC-2 project UNEDF

Universal Nuclear Energy Density Functional



Universal Nuclear Energy Density Functional



15 institutions

- ~50 researchers
 •physics
 - •computer science
 - •applied mathematics
- foreign collaborators
- annual budget \$3M
- 5 years

http://unedf.org/

Major Research Areas

- Ab initio structure Nuclear wf's from microscopic NN···N
 - NCSM/FCI, CC, GFMC/AFMC
 - AV18/ILx, chiral EFT $\longrightarrow V_{\log k}$
- Ab initio energy functionals DFT from microscopic NN···N
 - $V_{\text{low}\,k} \longrightarrow \text{MBPT} \longrightarrow \text{DME}$
 - Cold atoms superfluid LDA+ as prototype for nuclear DFT
- DFT applications Technology to calculate observables
 - Skyrme HFB+ for all nuclei (solvers)
 - Fitting the functional (e.g., correlation analysis)
- DFT extensions Long-range correlations, excited states
 LACM, GCM, TDDFT, QRPA, CI
- Reactions Low-energy reactions, fission, ...

A comprehensive approach underway



Summary

Self-consistent mean-field models

- Theoretical foundation within Kohn-Sham DFT.
- Applicable across nuclear chart.
- Phenomenological approach; fit to data.
- Yield impressive results (given their simplicity) in regions that entered the fit.
- Form of functional needs improvement to cover drip line physics
- Future theoretical and experimental advances for neutron-rich nuclei necessary.